

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,538	07/11/2003	Sergio Nieto Gil	FICO-002/00US	3497
22903	7590 04/11/2005		EXAMINER	
COOLEY GODWARD LLP			BUTLER, DOUGLAS C	
ATTN: PATENT GROUP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700 ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER			3683	TAI BRITOMBER
	A 20190-5061	OWN CENTER	DATE MAILED: 04/11/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1
_	$\boldsymbol{\tau}$
	0

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer	10/617,538	NIETO GIL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Douglas C. Butler	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty enough will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 January 2005.						
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allocations of accordance with the practice und		•					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	diawii iioiii consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement						
are subject to recurding an	iaror creation requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. □ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apportority documents have been received in Receiv	olication No eceived in this National Stage					
	•						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
. spor rro(s) man Date	9) 🗀 Other;	,					

Application/Control Number: 10/617,538 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany and the EPO on Jan. 22, 2001 and Jan. 22, 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the noted application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

- 2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species A: Figs. 1-4;

Species B: Fig. 5;

Species C: Fig. 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3629

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicants should submit copies of any foreign search reports corresponding to the instant application as well as any prior art cited therein.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3629

The use of the phrase "in particular" of claim 1, line 1 and the term "particularly" of claim 15, line 1 renders the claims unclear.

The phrase "in a manner decoupled from the at least one brake cable (60)" of claim 1, last line is unclear as to the structure "decoupled".

There are no clear antecedent bases in the claims for "its position" of claim 3, line 2; "its longitudinal axis" of claim 2, line 2; "said spindle (34)" of claim 14, line 2; "said housing (20)" of claim 14, line 3. It appears that claim 14 should depend on claim 4.

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Petrak (010). See Figs. 1-55.

The effective date of Petrak (010) is Sept. 21, 2001. See MPEP 201.15.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

10. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Flynn et al (714) or Gill et al (082).

Art Unit: 3629

See Figs. 1-11 of Flynn et al and Figs. 1-11 of Gill et al.

- 11. Note Gutierrez et al (394).
- 12. In the specification:

Paragraph [0012]: line 1 "Said" should be --The--.

Paragraph [0047]: line 4 "60" should be --70--.

Paragraph [0050]; line 1 "Said" should be --The--.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Exmr Butler at telephone number 703-308-2575.

DOUGLAS C. BUTLER
PRIMARY EYAMINER

Butler/vs March 29, 2005